

REMARKS

Claims 1, 17, 24, 32, 35, 38, and 54 have been amended to clarify the subject matter regarded as the invention. Claims 1 – 5, 9 – 19, 22 – 42, and 46 – 54 are pending.

The Examiner has rejected independent claims 1, 17, 24, 32, 35, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman et al., Horne, and Wilcox et al.

The rejection is respectfully traversed. Perlman describes downloading a requested video stream, modifying the video stream, and presenting the modified video stream to the user. Horne describes determining a search window using global motion information where the search window is used in block matching (Col 3, lines 10 – 21). Wilcox describes detecting motion using the magnitude of an average of a plurality of vectors and the average magnitude of a plurality of vectors. Perlman, Horne, and Wilcox do not describe, alone or in combination, providing to a client processed motion information that “allows the client to identify a location of interest in the bit stream based at least in part on one or more of the motion information coherence and the motion information magnitude” and “receiving from the client a selection indicating the location of interest in the bit stream” as recited in claim 1 as amended. It is therefore believed that independent claim 1 is allowable.

Independent claims 17, 24, 32, 35, and 38 have also been amended in a manner similar to claim 1. For the same reasons described above with respect to claim 1, it is also believed that independent claims 17, 24, 32, 35, and 38 are allowable.

Claims 2 – 5 and 9 – 16 depend from independent claim 1; claims 18, 19, 22, and 23 depend from independent claim 17; claims 25 – 31 depend from independent claim 24; claims 33 and 34 depend from independent claim 32; claims 36 and 37 depend from independent claim 35; and claims 39 – 42 and 46 – 53 depend from independent claim 38. These dependent claims are believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above.

The Examiner has rejected claim 54 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perlman et al.

The rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 54 has been amended to recite, "providing the processed motion information for each of the plurality of compressed bit streams to the client, wherein providing the processed motion information allows the client to identify a compressed bit stream of interest from among the plurality of compressed bit streams; and receiving from the client a selection indicating the compressed bit stream of interest from among the plurality of compressed bit streams." For convenience and without limitation, in one embodiment processed motion information is presented to a user using navigation bars for different videos so that the user can select one of the programs to watch based on the presented motion information (page 5, lines 15 – 23). In Col 3, lines 45 – 51 of Perlman cited by the Examiner, a requested video stream is downloaded, modified, and presented to a user. This is not presenting and received as recited in claim 54. Claim 54 is therefore believed to be allowable.

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all claims are respectfully requested based on the preceding remarks. If at any time the Examiner believes that an interview would be helpful, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 8/1/06

Laura Ing
Laura Ing
Registration No. 56,859
V 408-973-2581
F 408-973-2595

VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP
10050 N. Foothill Blvd., Suite 200
Cupertino, CA 95014